

Clerk's Office
824 N. Market Street, 3rd Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

November 20, 2009

Re: Case No. 09-12074 (KJC)

To Whom It May Concern:

My name is Robert Satterfield and I am writing to encourage the Judge in the above named case to review the letter of William H. Milligan dated 11-17-2009 (filed document #971-attached). It also represents the views of me, and I believe, the current, retired and terminated employees whose deferred compensation and retirement assets were affected negatively to a significant degree by the BMHC bankruptcy.

I believe that the recent passing of HR-3458 will provide the lenders with a substantial tax refund windfall that was unanticipated when they approved the proposed payments to the unsecured creditors in the final disclosure statement. As such the unsecured creditors should also benefit from this substantial tax refund that would help make the treatment of unsecured creditors more equitable and still provide the lenders and the company with a significant reduction in outstanding debt.

Many affected employees are reluctant to write a similar plea for fear that there might be adverse consequences including not receiving what little has been offered in the disclosure statement (12.1% of unsecured debt). Increasing the amount available to unsecured creditors would be fair and appropriate given the new money available. It would also have a positive impact on the employees that the company needs to retain to be successful as well as to the terminated or retired employees who have no other means to recoup the significant losses to their retirement account and other deferred savings.

In closing I would like to strongly urge the Judge to request that the lenders in this case substantially increase the funds available to unsecured creditors in light of the major positive change to the debt structure as a result of the pending large tax refund.

Sincerely,



Robert Satterfield
12444 W. Gumwood Drive
Boise, Idaho 83713