

Clerk's Office  
824 N. Market Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

RE: Case No. 09-12074

To Whom It May Concern:


My Name is Ellis C. Goebel; I was employed with BMHC and predecessor companies beginning 1970 until my retirement in 2006.

I am writing to request the Judge review the letters of William H. Milligan dated November 17, 2009, and Steven H. Pearson dated November 20, 2009. I am in full support of both letters.

I believe it is only right that the unsecured creditors receive more than 12.1% as a result of HR-3458.

I have attached the letters mentioned above. Please give this fair and serious consideration.

Sincerely,



Ellis C. Goebel  
700 West E Street Apt. 2706  
San Diego Ca. 92101

RECEIVED  
NOV 23 2009  
11 11 AM '09

Clerk's Office  
824 N. Market Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

November 20, 2009

Re: Case No. 09-12074 (KJC)

To Whom It May Concern:

My name is Steven H. Pearson and I am writing to encourage the Judge in the above named case to review the letter of William H. Milligan dated 11-17-2009 (filed document #971-attached). It also represents the views of me, and I believe, the current, retired and terminated employees whose deferred compensation and retirement assets were affected negatively to a significant degree by the BMHC bankruptcy.

I believe that the recent passing of HR-3458 will provide the lenders with a substantial tax refund windfall that was unanticipated when they approved the proposed payments to the unsecured creditors in the final disclosure statement. As such the unsecured creditors should also benefit from this substantial tax refund that would help make the treatment of unsecured creditors more equitable and still provide the lenders and the company with a significant reduction in outstanding debt.

Many affected employees are reluctant to write a similar plea for fear that there might be adverse consequences including not receiving what little has been offered in the disclosure statement (12.1% of unsecured debt). Increasing the amount available to unsecured creditors would be fair and appropriate given the new money available. It would also have a positive impact on the employees that the company needs to retain to be successful as well as to the terminated or retired employees who have no other means to recoup the significant losses to their retirement account and other deferred savings.

In closing I would like to strongly urge the Judge to request that the lenders in this case substantially increase the funds available to unsecured creditors in light of the major positive change to the debt structure as a result of the pending large tax refund.

Sincerely,

Steven H. Pearson  
1321 E. Braemere Rd.  
Boise, Idaho 83702

November 17, 2009

Clerks Office  
824 N. Market Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

RE: Case No. 09-12074 (KJC)

To Whom It May Concern:

My name is William H. Milligan and I am a senior concerned about my future. I read the new Disclosure Statement that awards unsecured creditors like me approximately 12.1% of what was originally due to me. You can imagine my shock to learn that my "recovery rate" was now being reduced from 55.2% indicated in the Debtor's original Disclosure Statement from June, 2009. I had been employed with SelectBuild-BMHC for over 20 ½ years. My employment was terminated on February 19, 2009 about three months shy of my 60<sup>th</sup> birthday.

I am writing this letter to you (the Judge overseeing Case No. 09-12074) to voice **my disagreement with the recovery amount for class 6C claims** in new the Disclosure Statement for the Joint Plan of Reorganization for the Debtors that was recently approved. At this point I have no choice but to accept the plan because I am terrified that if I do not accept this plan, I will get nothing. The law may indicate that what is being done is perfectly legal including the treatment of the unsecured class, but in my view, it doesn't make it right.

**I am asking the Judge in this case (No. 09-12074) to intervene and make things right or least a bit more equitable and fair.**


Through my research of the Disclosure Statement, the Plan, and previous 10K filings, I am almost certain that the one party not being too "harmd" by this case is the Lender Group. Not only did this group make a ton of money from fees associated with covenant defaults and debt restructuring, but it also received approximately \$50 Million of the \$56 Million tax refund it obtained from the amendment of previous years tax returns just 2 months before the Company filed a petition for Bankruptcy. While the Lender group may be at risk with the Company, most of the amount at risk is secured. And now, with President Obama signing into law "HR-3458 "Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009"", the Company will be able to amend the tax returns for an additional two years that could generate a tax refund of up to an additional \$40 Million most of which will likely go towards the Lender Group. **Couldn't some of this money go towards improving the recovery rate to unsecured creditors? I think it can and I think it should.**

I have served my country honorably in my younger years and worked the balance of my years in construction until this past year. I always believed that the purpose of a Bankruptcy proceeding was to "freeze" things for the Company so that it could work with BOTH secured and unsecured creditors on a plan to move forward while coming to terms on the past. From an unsecured creditor perspective, where have we come to terms? How have we come to terms?

I am requesting, on behalf of myself and all of my fellow unsecured creditors, that the Judge in this case "balance the scales of justice" and intervene to ensure that the unsecured creditors, and in particular class 6C, is helped in terms of improving our recovery rate, and at the very least, consider the impending tax refund that will be forthcoming to the Debtors. You see, as I mentioned earlier, most of us understand the Disclosure Statement but we feel like there is a "gun to head" because, at my age, I need every bit of income I can get.

I am also asking that if any of my fellow unsecured creditors think the same as I do that they let themselves be heard from by writing similar letters to the Judge.

Sincerely,



William H. Milligan  
3300 Tea Rose Drive  
El Dorado Hills, CA. 95762

cc: Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP  
200 Park Ave  
New York, New York 10166  
Attn: Michael A. Rosenthal and Matthew K. Kelsey

ArentFox LLP  
1050 Connecticut Ave  
Washington, DC 20036-5339  
Attn: Christopher J. Giaimo and Katie A. Lane

Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP  
55 Second Street 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA. 94105  
Attn: Kevin Fisher and Seth Mennillo

Richards, Layton & Finger  
One Rodney Square  
920 North King Street  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
Attn: Paul N. Heath

United States Trustee for the District of Delaware  
844 King Street, Suite 2207  
Lockbox #35  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
Attn: Joseph J. McMahon