# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE:	) Case No. 09-12074(KJC)
BUILDING MATERIALS HOLDING CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED) ) Chapter 11 )
Debtors.	<pre>) Courtroom 5 ) 824 Market Street ) Wilmington, Delaware 1980 )</pre>
	) January 5, 2010 ) 11:04 A.M.

TRANSCRIPT OF OMNIBUS HEARING BEFORE HONORABLE KEVIN J. CAREY UNITED STATES CHIEF BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

#### **APPEARANCES:**

For the Debtors: Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP

By: SEAN BEACH, ESQ.
The Brandywine Building
1000 West Street, 17th Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher By: MICHAEL ROSENTHAL, ESQ.

2100 McKinney Avenue

Suite 1100

Dallas, Texas 75201-6912

ECRO: AL LUGANO

TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE: TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC.

**435 Riverview Circle** 

New Hope, Pennsylvania 18938 Telephone: 215-862-1115 Facsimile: 215-862-6639 e-mail CourtTranscripts@aol.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

### **APPEARANCES:**

(Continued)

For Committee of Benesch, Friedlander, Unsecured Creditors: Coplan & Aronoff LLP

By: JENNIFER SMITH, ESQ.

222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 801

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

The U.S. Trustee: United States Department of Justice

Office of the U.S. Trustee

By: JOSEPH J. McMAHON, JR., ESQ.

844 King Street

Wilmington, Delaware 19899

#### **TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:**

For PricewaterhouseCoopers
PricewaterhouseCoopers: By: BRET H. BALONICK

For Peter J. Solomon: Peter J. Solomon

By: PAUL CROCI

BRADLEY I. DIETZ

For Sven Johnson: SVEN JOHNSON, Pro Se

For Alvarez & Marsal: Alvarez & Marsal

By: RICHARD PARK

For Steven Varner: STEVEN VARNER, Pro Se

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.

MR. BEACH: Good morning, Your Honor. May it please the Court, Sean Beach from Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor on behalf of the debtors.

Your Honor, also with me today is Mr. Michael
Rosenthal from Gibson Dunn and Crutcher on behalf of the
debtors. And I believe there are a number of professionals
that have appeared by phone today, as well.

Your Honor, the first four items on the agenda have been adjourned, or the portions that are remaining have been adjourned. I appreciate Your Honor has signed Items Number 5, 6, and 7, which leaves us only with the fee applications remaining for the hearing.

Your Honor, we had some conversations with chambers, and do apologize for getting the fee auditors' reports for the second quarterlies over later than the one-week deadline, and certainly appreciate chambers taking the time to review them on an expedited time frame so that we can go forward with the hearing today.

THE COURT: Yeah, what happened with that?

MR. BEACH: It was -- well, this was a bit of a unique case overall because we thought we would be in and out in three months, and there wasn't a fee auditor appointed early on in the case because we were going to do a quick time frame. We also didn't schedule a first quarterly fee hearing early on

in the case, as a result of that. The fee auditor was ultimately appointed when the cases got extended. And since we were six months down the road and hadn't had any fee hearings, we tried to do a bit of a catch up. So, we were trying to scramble to get in the November fee applications for -- to include in the second quarterlies, which allowed the fee auditor much less time than they would normally have to review those fees. But they had agreed to, you know, work on an expedited basis to do that. They got the reports to us as quickly as they could.

My office waited until we got the last fee auditor report in before submitting them to chambers, which was in retrospect a mistake. We should have sent them over as we got them. But that's the basic gist of what happened.

THE COURT: Okay. And the reason that I decided to go forward with all of the applications today was there are -- as I look at it, all the issues with the fee examiners seem to have been resolved.

MR. BEACH: They have been.

THE COURT: I do have a couple questions, but because there was relatively little to be followed up after our review, I decided it was just better for everybody to go ahead, including the Court, to go ahead with today's hearing.

MR. BEACH: We appreciate that, Your Honor. And just so Your Honor understands, the only period that's going to be

left after this fee period is a subperiod between December 1st and December 17th confirmation. And if I might, Your Honor, I'd just like to ask Mr. Rosenthal to make a brief update to Your Honor in terms of where we stand on plan confirmation. I think that might be useful, and then we can get into the fee application.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. ROSENTHAL: Thank you, Your Honor. Michael Rosenthal on behalf of the debtors. Happy New Year.

THE COURT: Same to you.

MR. ROSENTHAL: Your Honor, I'm happy to report that we did, in fact, go effective on the plan yesterday after -- maybe 1 o'clock eastern time. And as the Court might expect, there were the usual small little glitches in the week before the closing, but ultimately everything was resolved over the New Year's weekend, and we were able to close.

And, Your Honor, we want to thank you for the time you spent on the case. It was a relatively quick case, we know you spent a lot of time, we know you gave us the hearing right before the end of the year, and we certainly appreciate that.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. BEACH: Your Honor, for the record again, Sean Beach.

That brings us to the first quarterly fee applications. As Your Honor might recall, on the December 17th

hearing, Gibson Dunn's first quarterly fee application was approved. So, it leaves the remainder of the debtor and Committee professionals, as well as the fee auditor's fees. So, is there -- would Your Honor prefer just to ask questions in connection with the applications that --

2.4

THE COURT: Yes. Let's start it off by just letting me confirm, or not, for the record that all of the professionals whose applications are up for hearing today have acceded to any adjustments recommended by the fee auditor. If anyone has not, now is the time to speak up.

(No audible response heard)

THE COURT: Okay. I'm greeted with silence. Let me first turn to Benesch. Now, the question actually was raised in connection with the fee examiner's review of the second interim request, but relates back to the first request. And that is at some point, the submissions were reflecting that the firm was charging more than 10 cents a copy for photocopying. The examiner notes that this was discussed in the second interim submission. But I couldn't find anything that specifically said an adjustment had been made to reduce that which had been previously indicated as being in excess of what the local rule permits.

MS. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor. Jennifer Smith from Benesch Friedlander on behalf of the Committee.

It was my understanding that that adjustment had been

1	made. However, I would be happy to submit an explanation under
2	certification of counsel just to confirm that.
3	THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this. Is it your
4	intention to submit an application in connection with the stub
5	period that's just been described?
6	MS. SMITH: I imagine we will.
7	THE COURT: Then I would say address it in that
8	submission.
9	MS. SMITH: Certainly.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Bear with me for a moment.
11	(Pause)
12	THE COURT: I'd like to turn to the direct fee
13	review. Fee request, if I may. Is the fee auditor present or
14	on the phone?
15	MR. DRYER: Yes, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Step forward, if you would. And identify
17	yourself for the record.
18	MR. DRYER: W. Joseph Dryer, Direct Fee Review.
19	THE COURT: Good morning.
20	MR. DRYER: Good morning.
21	THE COURT: Do you have a copy of your application
22	with you?
23	MR. DRYER: No, I don't, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Maybe counsel can offer you one of
25	their copies, a binder, if they have it.

I apologize, Your Honor. I didn't bring 1 MR. BEACH: 2 copies of all --3 Okay. Well, then do this. Come forward, THE COURT: 4 if you would. 5 (Pause) 6 THE COURT: I'm showing you a time detail for an 7 October 30 entry that precedes a bunch of November 3 entries. 8 And all of the subsequent entries show write and sent e-mail, point one. But the September 30 e-mail shows one point one. 10 And I was just wondering whether that was an error or whether it really was an hour and ten? Just the juxtaposition of the 11 12 entries caused me to ask that question. 13 MR. DRYER: Yes, Your Honor. I'm not aware of that specific entry. I can only say that I will go back and review 14 15 that entry, and if there's an error, I'll adjust the subsequent detail for that specific entry. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Just have -- just submit something under a certification. And unless I have a question, I'll act 18 19 without further hearing. 20 MR. DRYER: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: And I'll act promptly. 22 MR. DRYER: Thank you. 23 THE COURT: All right. It was the only question I 24 had with respect to direct fee. 25 Let me turn also to the second interim quarterly fee

request of Peter J. Solomon. Bear with me while I get to my notes.

(Pause)

THE COURT: And I see that there are representatives on the telephone.

MR. BEACH: They are, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm looking at the fee auditor's report with respect to the second interim quarterly fee request. And in Paragraph 5 on Page 2 at the bottom, the fee auditor notes that -- well, had requested an explanation of the charges with respect to meal expenses, which I think are -- I would generally categorize as overtime meals as a result of overtime work. And the explanation given was that they're charged roughly in proportion to the percentage of total time that we each spend on the debtor's matters relative to other projects, that's a paraphrase but I think it captures the essence of the explanation.

The fee auditor did not request an adjustment as a result of that. But I'd like a further explanation by a Solomon rep about exactly how those charges are allocated.

MR. DIETZ: Your Honor, it's Brad Dietz. And I believe what we would do on a given evening would be if an analyst associate, or even myself were working on multiple matters, that we would allocate the meal expense that evening proportionally to the time that we spent, if it's one project

or two projects. That's my understanding of our accounting methodology.

and then you'll tell me how your allocation process fits into this. Typically in overtime meal charges, which I generally approve, and I know are standard in the industry, I would allow them in situations in which work on that particular debtor's matter occasioned the professional to incur the overtime work. And, for example, it's not that the professional involved would have to have spent 14 hours that day to be entitled to an overtime meal charge. But that there be some significant portion of the day which would have been spent on that debtor's work in order to justify the overtime meal charge being ascribed to that particular debtor.

How does that fit within the process that you've just described to me?

MR. DIETZ: I think it generally does. And the -- I guess the way I would try and maybe just do it from a simple arithmetic standpoint would be if the analyst or the associate was working on two projects during the day and carried over to the evening, not trying to bifurcate the day by hours, but, you know, in the evening time. If the meal was -- if they had spent their time on two matters during the day, the overtime and there was a meal, and the meal was -- and it was 50 percent between the two matters, the meal was \$20, we'd allocate 10 to

project one and 10 to project two.

THE COURT: Well, what if -- what if on a given day, let's say there were 10 hours expended between two matters, an hour for one, and nine for the other, you would still allocate 10 percent of the meal charge to that matter in which you had spent one hour?

MR. DIETZ: My colleague, Paul Croci, may be able to help me with that allocation more specifically.

MR. CROCI: Your Honor, this is Paul Croci, also from Peter Solomon. I'm here with Brad Dietz.

Essentially each evening when we, you know, come to meal time, we order a meal, and specifically designate which client to charge the meal to. And then specifically, as Paul Garino and myself would order a meal, we would be working on the given matter that we would charge it to. So, if at the time that we were staying there, which I think is keeping with your thought process, that you order a meal such that it is what is responsible for causing you to incur the overtime work. So, in general, whatever we're working on at that given time is what we charge the meal to.

THE COURT: All right. The reason I'm inquiring here is that, although, as I said, the policy among really all types of professionals is to charge for overtime meals. The process, as it was described in the submissions, was sufficiently fuzzy that I wanted to delve a little further into it.

But I am satisfied with the response that's been 1 2 given, and the fact that the fee auditor did not request an adjustment. 3 4 That exhausts my questions with respect to the 5 applications before me today. Does anyone else wish to be 6 heard in connection with any of the matters? 7 (No audible response heard) 8 THE COURT: All right. I hear no further response. (Pause) 9 10 MR. BEACH: Your Honor, for the record, Sean Beach. May I approach with forms of order for the debtor 11 professionals? And I can also take up the Committee 12 13 professional orders, as well. 14 THE COURT: And what have you done with the, if 15 anything, with the fee auditor's request? 16 MR. BEACH: Your Honor, I didn't make an adjustment. If I may make a suggestion similar to the one that you made 17 with respect to Benesch. Instead of making an adjustment on 18 this application, can we make an adjustment on the next 19 20 application, to the extent necessary. 21 THE COURT: You know, that's a good idea. The time is minimal enough that that, I think, would be an appropriate 22 23 result. 24 MR. BEACH: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Otherwise the professionals will spend

	13
1	more time than would be saved. Okay.
2	(Pause)
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	(Pause)
5	MR. BEACH: Your Honor, for the record, those orders
6	should reflect the voluntary reductions that all parties agreed
7	to, as well.
8	THE COURT: Very well.
9	(Pause)
10	THE COURT: All right. All four orders presented
11	have been signed. Is there anything further for today?
12	MR. BEACH: Thank you, Your Honor, no. There's
13	nothing further for today's hearing.
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, all, very much.
15	That concludes this hearing. Court will stand in recess.
16	MR. BEACH: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	(Whereupon, at 11:21 A.M., the hearing was adjourned.)
18	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
19	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
20	the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the
21	above-entitled matter.
22	
23	/s/ Karen Hartmann AAERT CET**D0475 Date: January 12, 2010
24	TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC.
25	

		Π	I	
	12:19,20	CHAMBERS- 3:14,17	<b>EMAIL-</b> 8:8,9	GIST- 4:14
\$	APPLICATIONS-	4:12	ENTITLED- 10:10	GIVEN- 9:13,22
<b>\$20-</b> 10:25	3:12 4:5,16 5:25	CHARGE- 10:11,13	ENTRIES- 8:7,8,12	11:2,15,19 12:2
10.23	•			
	6:5,8 12:5	11:5,13,15,20,23	ENTRY-	GLITCHES- 5:14
- &	APPOINTED- 3:23	CHARGED- 9:14	8:7,14,15,16	<b>GO-</b> 3:18
<b>&amp;-</b> 3:3	4:2	CHARGES- 9:11,20	ERROR- 8:10,15	4:16,22,23 5:12
	APPRECIATE-	10:5	ESSENCE- 9:17	8:14
/	3:11,17 4:24 5:20	CHARGING- 6:17	ESSENTIALLY-	GOING- 3:24 4:25
/s/- 13:23	APPROACH- 12:11			GOOD- 3:1,2
1 , 2 , 2 3 2 3		CLOSE- 5:16	11:11	· '
1	APPROPRIATE-	CLOSING- 5:15	<b>EVENING-</b> 9:22,24	7:19,20 12:21
	12:22	COLLEAGUE- 11:7	10:21,22 11:11	<b>GOT-</b> 4:2,9,11,13
<b>1-</b> 5:13	APPROVE- 10:6	COME- 8:3 11:11	EVERYBODY- 4:22	<b>GREETED-</b> 6:12
<b>10-</b> 6:17 10:25	APPROVED- 6:2	COMMITTEE- 6:3,24	EVERYONE- 3:1	GUESS- 10:18
11:1,3,5	ARITHMETIC- 10:19	12:12	EVERYTHING- 5:15	<b>COLDS</b> 10.10
<b>11:21-</b> 13:17	ASCRIBED- 10:14			Н
<b>12-</b> 13:23		CONAWAY- 3:3	<b>EXACTLY-</b> 9:20	
	ASSOCIATE- 9:23	CONCLUDES - 13:15	EXAMINER- 6:18	<b>HADN'T-</b> 4:3
<b>14-</b> 10:10	10:19	CONFIRM- 6:7 7:2	EXAMINER'S- 6:14	HAPPENED- 3:20
17 <sup>TH</sup> - 5:2,25	AUDITOR- 3:23	CONFIRMATION-	EXAMINERS- 4:17	4:14
<b>1</b> <sup>st</sup> - 5:1	4:1,7,11 6:9 7:13	5:2,4		<b>HAPPY-</b> 5:9,11 7:1
	9:10,18 12:2	· '	<b>EXAMPLE-</b> 10:9	HEAR- 12:8
2		CONNECTION-	EXCESS- 6:21	
<b>2-</b> 9:9	AUDITOR'S- 6:3	6:5,14 7:4 12:6	EXHAUSTS- 12:4	<b>HEARD-</b> 6:11
	9:7 12:15	CONVERSATIONS-	<b>EXPECT-</b> 5:13	12:6,7
<b>2010-</b> 13:23	AUDITORS'- 3:15	3:14	<b>EXPEDITED-</b> 3:18	HEARING-
	<b>AWARE-</b> 8:13	COPIES- 7:25 8:2	4:9	3:13,19,25 4:23
3		COPY- 6:17 7:21		5:19 6:1,8 8:19
<b>3-</b> 8:7	В		EXPENDED- 11:3	13:13,15,17
<b>30-</b> 8:7,9		CORRECT- 13:19	<b>EXPENSE-</b> 9:24	
	BACK- 6:15 8:14	COULDN'T- 6:19	EXPENSES- 9:11	HEARINGS - 4:3
5	BASIC- 4:14	COUNSEL- 7:2,24	EXPLANATION- 7:1	<b>HELP-</b> 11:8
	<b>BASIS-</b> 4:9	COUPLE- 4:20	9:10,13,17,19	<b>HOUR-</b> 8:11 11:4,6
<b>5-</b> 3:11 9:9	BEACH- 3:2,3,21	CROCI- 11:7,9		HOURS- 10:10,21
<b>50-</b> 10:24	4:19,24 5:22,23	CRUTCHER- 3:6	EXTENDED- 4:2	11:3
	8:1 9:6	CRUTCHER- 3.6	EXTENT- 12:20	0
6	12:10,16,24			I
<b>6-</b> 3:12	13:5,12,16	D	F	IDEA- 12:21
		<b>DATE-</b> 13:23	FEE-	
7	BEAR- 7:10 9:1	DAY-	3:12,15,23,25	IDENTIFY- 7:16
<b>7-</b> 3:12	BENESCH- 6:13,24	10:10,12,20,21,23	4:1,3,5,6,11,17	<pre>IMAGINE- 7:6</pre>
7- 3.12	12:18	11:2	5:1,5,24	INC- 13:24
	<b>BETWEEN-</b> 5:1	DEADLINE- 3:16		INCUR- 10:8 11:18
A	10:25 11:3		6:1,3,9,14	INDICATED- 6:21
<b>ABLE-</b> 5:16 11:7	BIFURCATE- 10:21	<b>DEBTOR-</b> 6:2 10:14	7:12,13,18	
ACCEDED- 6:9		12:11	8:24,25	INDUSTRY- 10:6
ACCOUNTING- 10:1	BINDER- 7:25	<b>DEBTOR'S-</b> 9:15	9:7,8,9,18	INQUIRING- 11:21
ACT- 8:18,21	BIT- 3:21 4:4	10:7,12	12:2,15	<b>INSTEAD-</b> 12:18
· ·	<b>BOTTOM-</b> 9:9	<b>DEBTORS-</b> 3:4,7	FEES- 4:8 6:3	INTENTION- 7:4
ADDRESS- 7:7	BRAD- 9:21 11:10	5:9	<b>FIND-</b> 6:19	INTERIM- 6:15,19
ADJOURNED-	BRIEF- 5:3		FIRM- 6:17	8:25 9:8
3:10,11 13:17		DECEMBER-		
ADJUST- 8:15	BRINGS- 5:24	5:1,2,25	FIRST- 3:9,25	ISSUES- 4:17
ADJUSTMENT-	BUNCH- 8:7	<b>DECIDED-</b> 4:15,22	5:24 6:1,13,15	IT'S- 9:21,25
6:20,25 9:18		<b>DELVE-</b> 11:25	FOLLOWED- 4:21	10:9
,	C	DESCRIBED- 7:5	FOREGOING- 13:19	<b>ITEMS-</b> 3:9,11
12:3,16,18,19	CAN- 3:18 5:5	10:16 11:24	FORMS- 12:11	1
ADJUSTMENTS- 6:9	7:24 8:14	DESIGNATE - 11:12	FORWARD- 3:18	J
AGENDA- 3:9	12:12,19			JANUARY- 13:23
<b>AGREED-</b> 4:8 13:6	CAPTURES- 9:16	<b>DETAIL-</b> 8:6,16	4:16 7:16 8:3	
<b>AHEAD-</b> 4:22,23		DIDN'T- 3:25 8:1	FOUR- 3:9 13:10	JENNIFER- 6:23
ALLOCATE- 9:24	CARRIED- 10:20	12:16	FRAME- 3:18,24	JOSEPH- 7:18
10:25 11:4	CASE- 3:22,24 4:1	<b>DIETZ-</b> 9:21 10:17	FRIEDLANDER- 6:24	JUSTIFY- 10:13
	5:18	11:7,10	FURTHER- 8:19	JUXTAPOSITION-
ALLOCATED- 9:20	CASES- 4:2	DISCUSSED- 6:18	9:19 11:25 12:8	8:11
ALLOCATION- 10:4	CATCH- 4:4			
11:8	CATEGORIZE- 9:12	DRYER-	13:11,13	K
<b>ALLOW-</b> 10:6	CAUSED- 8:12	7:15,18,20,23	FUZZY- 11:24	
ALLOWED- 4:6		8:13,20,22		KEEPING- 11:16
<b>AMONG-</b> 11:22	CAUSING- 11:18	<b>DUNN-</b> 3:6	G	
ANALYST- 9:23	CENTS- 6:17	DUNN'S- 6:1	<b>GARINO-</b> 11:14	L
10:19	CERTAINLY- 3:17		<b>GAVE-</b> 5:19	<b>LATER-</b> 3:16
	5:20 7:9	E	GENERAL- 10:3	<b>LEAVES-</b> 3:12 6:2
APOLOGIZE- 3:15	CERTIFICATE-	EACH- 9:15 11:11	11:19	<b>LEFT-</b> 5:1
8:1	13:18	EARLY- 3:23,25	GENERALLY- 9:12	LET'S- 6:6 11:3
APPEARED- 3:8	CERTIFICATION-			LETTING- 6:6
APPLICATION- 5:6		<b>EASTERN-</b> 5:13	10:5,17	
6:1 7:4,21	7:2 8:18	EFFECTIVE- 5:12	<b>GET-</b> 4:5 5:5 9:1	LOCAL - 6:22
· ·	<b>CERTIFY-</b> 13:19	ELECTRONIC- 13:20	GIBSON- 3:6 6:1	LOOK- 4:17
	l .	l .	ı	l .

				_
LOOKING 0.7	DIIOTOGODYTYG	0.0 11 10.4 10		
LOOKING- 9:7	PHOTOCOPYING-	9:8,11 12:4,18	Т	
<b>LOT-</b> 5:19	6:17	RESPONSE- 6:11		
	<b>PLAN-</b> 5:4,12	12:1,7,8	<b>TAKING-</b> 3:17	
M	POLICY- 11:22	RESPONSIBLE-	TAYLOR- 3:3	
MAKING- 12:18	PORTION- 10:12	11:18	TELEPHONE- 9:5	
<b>MATTER-</b> 10:8	PORTIONS- 3:10	RESULT- 4:1	TEN- 8:11	
11:5,15 13:21		9:12,19 12:23	TERMS- 5:4	
	PRECEDES- 8:7		-	
MATTERS- 9:15,24	PREFER- 6:4	RETROSPECT- 4:13	THREE- 3:23	
10:23,25 11:3	<b>PRESENT-</b> 7:13	ROAD- 4:3	TIME- 3:17,18,24	
12:6	PRESENTED- 13:10	ROSENTHAL- 3:6	4:7 5:13,17,19	
10:5,11,13,22,24,	PREVIOUSLY- 6:21	5:3,8,9,11	6:10 8:6 9:14,25	
25	PRINCIPLE- 10:3	RULE- 6:22	10:22,23	
11:5,12,13,14,17,		1022 0.22	11:12,16,19 12:21	
20	PROCEEDINGS-	S		
	13:20		13:1	
MEALS- 9:12 11:23	<b>PROCESS-</b> 10:4,15	SATISFIED- 12:1	<b>TODAY-</b> 3:5,8,19	
METHODOLOGY- 10:2	11:17,23	<b>SAVED-</b> 13:1	4:16 6:8 12:5	
MICHAEL- 3:5 5:8	PROFESSIONAL-	SCHEDULE- 3:25	13:11	
MINIMAL- 12:22	10:8,9 12:13	SCRAMBLE- 4:5	TODAY'S- 4:23	
MISTAKE- 4:13		<b>SEAN-</b> 3:3 5:22	13:13	
	PROFESSIONALS-			
MONTHS - 3:23 4:3	3:7 6:3,8 11:23	12:10	TOTAL- 9:14	
MORNING- 3:1,2	12:12,25	<b>SECOND-</b> 3:16 4:6	TRANSCRIPT- 13:19	
7:19,20	<b>PROJECT-</b> 9:25	6:14,18 8:25 9:8	TRANSCRIPTS-	
MUCH- 4:7 13:14	11:1	SEE- 9:4	13:24	
MULTIPLE 9:23	PROJECTS- 9:16	<b>SENT-</b> 4:13 8:8	TRIED- 4:4	
]		SEPTEMBER- 8:9	TURN- 6:13 7:12	
N	10:1,20		8:25	
	PROMPTLY- 8:21	SHOW- 8:8		
NECESSARY- 12:20	PROPORTION- 9:14	SHOWING- 8:6	TWO-	
<b>NEW-</b> 5:9,16	PROPORTIONALLY-	<b>SHOWS-</b> 8:9	10:1,20,23,25	
NINE- 11:4	9:25	<b>SIGNED-</b> 3:11	11:1,3	
NORMALLY- 4:7	J - 23	13:11	TYPES- 11:22	
NOTES- 6:18	Q	SIGNIFICANT-	TYPICALLY- 10:5	
9:2,10			11110111111 1013	
· ·	QUARTERLIES- 3:16	10:11	U	
<b>NOVEMBER-</b> 4:5 8:7	4:6	SILENCE- 6:12		
	QUARTERLY- 3:25	<b>SIMILAR-</b> 12:17	ULTIMATELY- 4:2	
0	5:24 6:1 8:25 9:8	<b>SIMPLE-</b> 10:18	5:15	
OCCASIONED- 10:8	QUESTIONS- 4:20	SITUATIONS- 10:7	UNDERSTANDING-	
OCTOBER- 8:7	6:4 12:4		6:25 10:1	
OFFER- 7:24		<b>SIX-</b> 4:3	UNDERSTANDS- 4:25	
	QUICK- 3:24 5:18	<b>SMALL-</b> 5:14		
OFFICE- 4:11	QUICKLY- 4:10	<b>SMITH-</b> 6:23 7:6,9	UNIQUE- 3:22	
ONE- 7:24 8:9		<b>SOLOMON-</b> 9:1,20	UNLESS- 8:18	
9:25 11:1,4,6	R	11:10	UPDATE- 5:3	
12:17	RAISED- 6:13		USEFUL- 5:5	
ONE-WEEK- 3:16	<b>REASON-</b> 4:15	SOUND- 13:20		
ORDER- 10:13	11:21	SPECIFIC- 8:14,16	V	
	==	SPECIFICALLY-	VOLUNTARY- 13:6	
11:12,14,17 12:11	RECOMMENDED- 6:9	6:20 11:8,12,13	VOLUNIARI- 13.0	
ORDERS- 12:13	RECORDING- 13:20	<b>SPEND-</b> 9:15 12:25		
13:5,10	<b>REDUCE-</b> 6:20	<b>SPENT-</b> 5:18,19	W	
OTHERWISE- 12:25	REDUCTIONS- 13:6	9:25 10:10,12,23	<b>WAITED-</b> 4:11	
OVERALL- 3:22	REFLECT- 13:6		WASN'T- 3:23	
OVERTIME- 9:12,13	REFLECTING- 6:16	11:6	WE'RE- 11:19	
10:5,8,11,13,23		<b>STAND-</b> 5:4 13:15	WEEK- 5:14	
	RELATIVE- 9:15	STANDARD- 10:6	WEEKEND- 5:16	
11:18,23	RELATIVELY- 4:21	STANDPOINT- 10:19		
	5:18	STARGATT- 3:3	WHEREUPON- 13:17	
P	REMAINDER- 6:2	<b>START-</b> 6:6	WILL- 7:6 8:14	
PARAPHRASE- 9:16	REMAINING-	STATE- 10:3	12:25 13:15	
PARTICULAR-	3:10,13		WISH- 12:5	
10:7,14	*	<b>STAYING-</b> 11:16	WORK- 4:8 9:13	
<b>PARTIES-</b> 13:6	REP- 9:20	<b>STEP-</b> 7:16	10:7,8,13 11:18	
PAUL- 11:7,9,13	REPORT- 4:12 5:11	<b>STUB-</b> 7:4	WORKING- 9:23	
	9:8	SUBMISSION- 6:19		
PAUSE- 7:11 8:5	<b>REPORTS-</b> 3:15 4:9	7:8	10:20 11:14,19	
9:3 12:9 13:2,4,9	REPRESENTATIVES-	SUBMISSIONS- 6:16	WRITE- 8:8	
PERCENT- 10:24	9:4			
11:5	REQUEST- 6:15	11:24	Y	
PERCENTAGE- 9:14		SUBMITTING- 4:12	<b>YEAR-</b> 5:9,20	
PERIOD- 4:25 5:1	7:13 9:1,9,18	SUBPERIOD- 5:1	YEAR'S- 5:16	
7:5	12:2,15	SUBSEQUENT-	YESTERDAY- 5:12	
	REQUESTED- 9:10	8:8,15		
PERMITS- 6:22	RESOLVED- 4:18	SUFFICIENTLY-	YOU'LL- 10:4	
<b>PETER-</b> 9:1 11:10	5:15	11:24	YOU'VE- 10:15	
PHONE- 3:8 7:14	RESPECT- 8:24	SUGGESTION- 12:17		
	-	DOGGEDITON- 17.1		
1		Ī	Ī	I

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT District of Delaware

In Re:

Building Materials Holding Corporation 720 Park Boulevard, Suite 200

Boise, ID 83712 EIN: 91–1834269 Chapter: 11

Case No.: 09-12074-KJC

## NOTICE OF FILING OF TRANSCRIPT AND OF DEADLINES RELATED TO RESTRICTION AND REDACTION

A transcript of the proceeding held on 1/5/2010 was filed on 1/12/2010. The following deadlines apply:

The parties have 7 days to file with the court a *Notice of Intent to Request Redaction* of this transcript. The deadline for filing a *request for redaction* is 2/2/2010.

If a request for redaction is filed, the redacted transcript is due 2/12/2010.

If no such notice is filed, the transcript may be made available for remote electronic access upon expiration of the restriction period, which is 4/12/2010 unless extended by court order.

To review the transcript for redaction purposes, you may purchase a copy from the transcriber (see docket for Transcriber's information) or you may view the document at the clerk's office public terminal.

Clerk of Court

Date: 1/12/10

(ntc)

### **Notice Recipients**

District/Off: 0311-1 User: Brandon Date Created: 1/12/2010

Case: 09-12074-KJC Form ID: ntcBK Total: 9

**Recipients of Notice of Electronic Filing:** 

Floor

United States Trustee USTPREGION03.WL.ECF@USDOJ.GOV Allison N. Cooper Brian K. Cuttone acooper@mgfllp.com, hrunge@mgfllp.com cheryl@realmediation.net aty

aty

TOTAL: 3

Recipients submitted to the BNC (Bankruptcy Noticing Center):

PO Box 8888

db	Building Materials Holding Corporation 720 Park Boulevard, Suite 200 Boise, ID 83712	
aty	Donald J. Bowman, Jr. Young, Conaway, Stargatt & Taylor 1000 West Street 17th	
-	Floor Wilmington, DE 19801	
aty	Robert F. Poppiti, Jr. Young, Conaway, Stargatt & Taylor, LLP The Brandywine Building 1000	
	West Street 17th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801	
aty	Scott K. Brown Lewis and Roca LLP 40 Norht Central Avenue Suite 1900 Phoenix, AZ	
	85004	
aty	Sean Matthew Beach Young, Conaway, Stargatt & Taylor The Brandywine Building, 17th	
-	Floor 1000 West Street PO Box 391 Wilmington, DE 19899	
aty	Vicki Lauren Shoemaker Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggi 1220 N. Market Street 5tl	h

Wilmington, DE 19899

TOTAL: 6