UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE:)	
)	Chapter 11
BUILDING MATERIALS	HOLDING)	
CORPORATION,)	G 1 00 100F4
)	Case No. 09-12074
)	Tul.: 1 2000
	Debtors)	July 1, 2009
	Deprors)	

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE KEVIN J. CAREY
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtors: MICHAEL A. ROSENTHAL, ESQUIRE

MATTHEW K. KELSEY, ESQUIRE GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP 200 Park Avenue, 47th Floor New York, NY 10166-0193

SEAN M. BEACH, ESQUIRE

YOUNG, CONAWAY, STARGATT & TAYLOR

The Brandywine Building

1000 West Street, 17th Floor

P.O. Box 391

Wilmington, DE 19899-0391

Audio Operator: BRANDON MCCARTHY

Transcribed by: DIANA DOMAN TRANSCRIBING

P.O. Box 129

Gibbsboro, New Jersey 08026-129

(856) 435-7172

FAX: (856) 435-7124

Email: <u>Dianadoman@comcast.net</u>

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

		2
1	<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>	
2	<u>PAGE</u>	
3	Final hearing on the approval 3	
4	of the debtor in possession	
5	financing	
6		

1	(Court in Session)
2	THE CLERK: All rise.
3	THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone.
4	MR. ROSENTHAL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Michael
5	Rosenthal from Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher on behalf of Building
6	Materials Holding Corporation and its subsidiaries.
7	Your Honor, with me today is Matt Kelsey from Gibson, Dunn and
8	Sean Beach from Young, Conaway.
9	Your Honor, we hope to make this last afternoon
LO	before you go on vacation relatively painless for you.
L1	THE COURT: Well, I share in that sentiment.
L2	MR. ROSENTHAL: There were two matters up for hearing
L3	today. The first one of the matters was the question of the
L4	de minimis sales procedures. We have adjourned that to the
L5	July 16th hearing date.
L6	The second matter, Your Honor, is the matter of the
L7	final hearing on the approval of the debtor in possession
L8	financing. Your Honor, I'm pleased to report that we've
L9	resolved all the objections, formal and informal, that were
20	raised with respect to this, and I'd like to have just just
21	a few minutes to to tell the Court about the DIP motion
22	again.
23	We are here today on final approval of an

\$80.0 million debtor in possession financing. \$40.0 million of

that was approved on an interim basis at the hearing on

24

Colloguy 4

July 17th. In support of the DIP, Your Honor, we're relying on the affidavit of Paul Street that was filed in connection with the first day papers.

2.1

As I said at the first day hearing, Your Honor, the debtor in possession financing is absolutely necessary to provide comfort to the -- to the companies, vendors, suppliers, and employees that they will be paid if they continue to deal with or be employed by the company on an ongoing basis, and we think it's absolutely essential to maintaining the -- the reorganization value of these businesses for the benefit of all of the creditor constituencies.

The terms of the DIP are relatively simple and very beneficial to the debtor. It's an \$80.0 debtor in possession financing. The rate is base rate plus 450 basis points, which is significantly lower than the majority or perhaps all of the dips that have recently been proposed. I hate to say that with the lender sitting here, but it's -- but it's a very favorable rate. The maturity provision is January 2nd of 2010, but at the election of the debtors, that can be extended to March 31st of 2010.

The DIP lenders are being given a -- a priming lien and super priority administrative expense status. The priming liens, however, Your Honor, are subject to certain permitted priority liens that are set forth in the -- in the DIP credit agreement.

There were two objections filed to the financing.

One was by some Texas State taxing authorities, and the taxing authorities were objecting to the extent that the DIP purported to prime their liens. We reached an agreement with the State authorities to insert a provision into the -- into the final order that says we were not -- we were not priming their liens, that to the extent that the properties in Texas which were subject to the statutory liens of the Texas taxing authorities -- to the extent those properties were sold, that the proceeds would be placed in a segregated account, and the liens would attach to the proceeds to the same -- in the same priority as they attach to the property.

2.1

The parties have reserved -- in this provision, the parties have reserved all of their rights to challenge the liens and the claims, et cetera, but that has been agreed to by the -- by the objector and all of the other parties here.

The Committee, Your Honor, was formed last Friday, and here today, a representative of the Committee, Chris -- Chris Giamo and Katie Lane from Arent, Fox. I'm sure they'll be happy to introduce themselves to you.

What I want to say, Your Honor, is that we have -- we have been unbelievably impressed by the speed with which the committee has gotten up to speed on the matters related to the DIP. I mean, basically, they had three or four days to review these documents. We had conference calls over the weekend.

Colloguy 6

They raised some informal -- some issues with us informally. We had discussions with the bank group and committee counsel and have resolved the objections that they raised.

2.1

As a result of their objections, we have amended the order to provide that the default notice time period would be extended from three days to five days, meaning that if there is a default in the DIP, we'll be given five days notice of that.

The challenge period with respect to the liens of the prepetition lenders has been extended by approximately ten days for the benefit of the -- of the creditors committee. So there is still a 90-day challenge period for all constituencies other than the Creditors Committee. Of course, the debtors have stipulated, but as to the Creditors Committee, the challenge period is 90 days, but it starts to run from the date of formation of the committee, which is July 17th.

In addition, Your Honor, we have amended the provision which provides that \$50,000 can be used for investigation of the lien avoidance claims. We have increased that to \$75,000.

The other objections, Your Honor -- there were no other objections to the final order. However, as the Court will recall in connection with the interim order, Mr. McMahon on behalf of the U.S. Trustee's Office raised some issues and some counsel for Ace Insurance raised some issues, and we have -- we have essentially tracked the language that we agreed to

Colloguy 7

in connection with the interim order through to the final order and have the sign off of Mr. McMahon and the lawyers for Ace as well to -- to the final order.

Your Honor, if I may approach with a redline copy of the final order.

THE COURT: Does it differ from that which was delivered to chambers earlier?

MR. ROSENTHAL: No, Your Honor.

2.1

THE COURT: Okay. I have that, and I have reviewed it.

MR. ROSENTHAL: Would you like me to walk through these changes, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Just the material provisions.

MR. ROSENTHAL: That's fine. Your Honor, the first change I'd point the Court to is on page 6 -- I'm sorry -- on page 18, paragraph -- paragraph 6. These are the changes in 6A that relate to the expanded avoidance period available to the Committee, and then if the Court looks at the bottom of the page and the top of the next page, the -- the Committee and the debtors and the lenders have agreed to cooperate in -- and expedited in informal discovery related to the -- to any potential lien claims.

Page 19, again, the Creditors Committee is given authority to challenge the -- to prosecutor or challenge of the lien claims. That's a new change. Then I would ask the court

to look at paragraph 7E, which is on page 24 carrying over to 25. This is the language that resolves the objection of the Texas tax authorities in the way that I described for the Court.

The next change, Your Honor, is on page 27, paragraph 10, which reflects the increase in the amount available to -- to investigate a -- a challenge from 50,000 to 75,000, and then on the -- on the next page, paragraph 12 on page 28 is the language increasing the notice period from three days to five days.

Other than that, Your Honor, you'll notice that this is a -- this is a redline of the final order to the -- to the interim DIP. So that's why at the end of the document, you have the notice provisions that notify people of the -- of the final hearing deleted.

So with that, Your Honor, I -- I would ask the Court to enter the final order approving the debtor in possession financing facility pursuant to the -- to the terms of the final order that we've presented to you. We believe it's in the best interest of the -- of the estate and its creditors and hope it's approved.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask if anyone else wishes to be heard in connection with the proposed financing order.

(No verbal response)

2.1

1	THE COURT: I hear no response. I do have the form
2	of order that was submitted to chambers earlier.
3	MR. ROSENTHAL: May I approach, Your Honor, with
4	Sean does, he have does the Judge have this with the
5	attachments?
6	THE COURT: I do, unless there again, unless there
7	have been any changes, but I have the order, and the attachment
8	is the credit agreement.
9	MR. ROSENTHAL: There have been no changes,
10	Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: All right. That order has been signed.
12	MR. ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much, and we I hope
13	you have a nice vacation.
14	THE COURT: I plan to, but thank you very much.
15	MR. ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: That concludes this hearing. Court will
17	stand adjourned. Enjoy your holiday.
18	(Court adjourned)

1 CERTIFICATION 2 I, Maureen Emmons, court approved transcriber, 3 certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the 4 official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the 5 6 above-entitled matter. 7 8 Date: 9 MAUREEN EMMONS 10 DIANA DOMAN TRANSCRIBING

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT District of Delaware

In Re:

Building Materials Holding Corporation 720 Park Boulevard, Suite 200

Boise, ID 83712 EIN: 91–1834269 Chapter: 11

Case No.: 09-12074-KJC

NOTICE OF FILING OF TRANSCRIPT AND OF DEADLINES RELATED TO RESTRICTION AND REDACTION

A transcript of the proceeding held on 7/1/2009 was filed on 7/14/2009. The following deadlines apply:

The parties have 7 days to file with the court a *Notice of Intent to Request Redaction* of this transcript. The deadline for filing a *request for redaction* is 8/4/2009.

If a request for redaction is filed, the redacted transcript is due 8/14/2009.

If no such notice is filed, the transcript may be made available for remote electronic access upon expiration of the restriction period, which is 10/13/2009 unless extended by court order.

To review the transcript for redaction purposes, you may purchase a copy from the transcriber (see docket for Transcriber's information) or you may view the document at the clerk's office public terminal.

Clerk of Court

Date: 7/14/09

(ntc)

Notice Recipients

District/Off: 0311-1 User: Brandon Date Created: 7/14/2009

Case: 09-12074-KJC Form ID: ntcBK Total: 5

Recipients of Notice of Electronic Filing:

United States Trustee USTPREGION03.WL.ECF@USDOJ.GOV

TOTAL: 1

Recipients submitted to the BNC (Bankruptcy Noticing Center):

Building Materials Holding Corporation 720 Park Boulevard, Suite 200 Boise, ID 83712 db Donald J. Bowman, Jr. Young, Conaway, Stargatt & Taylor 1000 West Street aty

Wilmington, DE 19801 Floor

Robert F. Poppiti, Jr. Young, Conaway, Stargatt & Taylor, LLP or Wilmington, DE 19801 aty The Brandywine Building 1000

West Street 17th Floor

Young, Conaway, Stargatt & Taylor Street PO Box 391 Wilming The Brandywine Building, 17th aty Sean Matthew Beach

1000 West Street Wilmington, DE 19899 Floor

TOTAL: 4