IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE:) Chapter 11
BUILDING MATERIALS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al.,1) Case No. 09-12074 (KJC)
Debtors.) Jointly Administered
	Ref. Docket No. 649

DEBTORS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SURREPLY TO ALVARADO'S AMENDED REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AUTHORIZING CLASS PROOF OF CLAIM OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO EXTEND TIME FOR INDIVIDUAL CLASS MEMBERS TO FILE PROOFS OF CLAIM

Building Materials Holding Corporation and its affiliates, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "*Debtors*"), hereby submit this motion for entry of an order, pursuant to Rule 9006-1(d) of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, granting the Debtors leave and permission to file a Surreply to Pedro Alvarado's Amended Reply in Support of Motion Authorizing Class Proof of Claim or, in the Alternative, to Extend Time for Individual Class Members to File Proofs of Claim (the "*Surreply*"). In support of this motion, the Debtors respectfully represent:

On August 31, 2009, Pedro Alvarado filed a Motion Authorizing Class
 Proof of Claim or, in the Alternative, to Extend Time for Individual Class Members to File

The Debtors, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's tax identification number, are as follows: Building Materials Holding Corporation (4269), BMC West Corporation (0454), SelectBuild Construction, Inc. (1340), SelectBuild Northern California, Inc. (7579), Illinois Framing, Inc. (4451), C Construction, Inc. (8206), TWF Construction, Inc. (3334), H.N.R. Framing Systems, Inc. (4329), SelectBuild Southern California, Inc. (9378), SelectBuild Nevada, Inc. (8912), SelectBuild Arizona, LLC (0036), and SelectBuild Illinois, LLC (0792). The mailing address for the Debtors is 720 Park Boulevard, Suite 200, Boise, Idaho 83712.

Proofs of Claim (the "*Motion*") [Docket No. 543]. On September 11, 2009, the Debtors filed an Objection (the "*Debtors' Objection*") [Docket No. 591] to Alvarado's Motion. Alvarado filed an Amended Reply (the "*Reply*") to the Debtors' Objection on September 18, 2009 [Docket No. 649].

- Alvarado's Reply focuses predominately on issues that were not addressed 2. in Alvarado's original Motion. For example, Alvarado in his Reply discusses the Rule 23 requirements for class certification at great length, while his Motion barely mentioned the Rule 23 requirements, addressing them in only the most perfunctory and conclusory manner. Compare Reply ¶¶ 14-21 with Motion ¶ 15. Similarly, Alvarado's Motion, although it specifically asked the Court to extend the Bar Date for thousands of individuals, never mentioned, much less attempted to meet, the applicable "excusable neglect" standard. See Motion ¶¶ 18-21. In contrast, Alvarado's Reply devotes no less than six paragraphs to discussing the excusable neglect standard. See Reply ¶¶ 28-33. Alvarado in his Reply also relies heavily on the assertion that the Debtors' notices were inadequate because, even though they plainly notified employees of their right to submit claims in these bankruptcy proceedings and the Bar Date, the notices did not explain the exact nature of the possible claims employees might have. *Id.* ¶¶ 3, 5, 22-34. That is an entirely new argument never mentioned in Alvarado's Motion; indeed, the Motion relied on Alvarado's (incorrect) assertion that the Debtors provided no notice at all. See Motion ¶ 18-19.
- 3. Because Alvarado's Reply focuses predominately on issues not addressed in his original Motion, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter an order granting them leave and permission to file a brief Surreply, in the form attached hereto as *Exhibit B*. The Surreply addresses the various factual and legal arguments first asserted by Alvarado in the

Reply that have not previously been addressed. Accordingly, the Debtors believe that the Surreply will assist the Court in the consideration of Alvarado's Motion.

Remainder of page intentionally left blank

DB02:8782959.1 068301.1001

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request the Court to enter an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as *Exhibit A*, allowing the Debtors to file the Surreply in the form attached hereto as *Exhibit B* and granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Wilmington, Delaware September 29, 2009

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

Sean M. Beach (No. 4070)

Donald J. Bowman, Jr. (No. 4383)

Robert F. Poppiti, Jr. (No. 5052)

The Brandywine Building 1000 West St., 17th Floor

Wilmington, DE 19801

Telephone:

302.571.6600

Facsimile:

302.571.1253

---- and ----

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

Michael A. Rosenthal (admitted pro hac vice)

Matthew K. Kelsey (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Saee M. Muzumdar (admitted pro hac vice)

200 Park Ave, 47th Floor

New York, NY 10166-0193 Telephone:

212.351.4000

Facsimile:

212.351.4035

Aaron G. York (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Jeremy L. Graves (admitted pro hac vice)

2100 McKinney Ave, Suite 1100

Dallas, TX 75201-6911

Telephone:

214.698.3100

Facsimile:

214.571.2900

ATTORNEYS FOR DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION

EXHIBIT A

Proposed Order

DB02:8782959.1 068301.1001

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE:	
IN KE:	Chapter 11
BUILDING MATERIALS HOLDING)
CORPORATION, et al.,1	Case No. 09-12074 (KJC)
Debtors.	Jointly Administered
)
	Ref. Docket No

ORDER GRANTING DEBTORS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SURREPLY TO ALVARADO'S AMENDED REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AUTHORIZING CLASS PROOF OF CLAIM OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO EXTEND TIME FOR INDIVIDUAL CLASS MEMBERS TO FILE PROOFS OF CLAIM

Upon consideration of the motion (the "*Motion*") of the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "*Debtors*") for entry of an order, pursuant to Rule 9006-1(d) of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, granting the Debtors leave and permission to file a Surreply to Pedro Alvarado's Amended Reply in Support of Motion Authorizing Class Proof of Claim or, in the Alternative, to Extend Time for Individual Class Members to File Proofs of Claim (the "*Surreply*"); and it appearing that the Court has jurisdiction to consider the Motion; and it appearing that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interest of the Debtors, their estates and creditors; and due and adequate notice of the Motion having been given; and it

DB02:8782959.1 068301.1001

The Debtors, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's tax identification number, are as follows: Building Materials Holding Corporation (4269), BMC West Corporation (0454), SelectBuild Construction, Inc. (1340), SelectBuild Northern California, Inc. (7579), Illinois Framing, Inc. (4451), C Construction, Inc. (8206), TWF Construction, Inc. (3334), H.N.R. Framing Systems, Inc. (4329), SelectBuild Southern California, Inc. (9378), SelectBuild Nevada, Inc. (8912), SelectBuild Arizona, LLC (0036), and SelectBuild Illinois, LLC (0792). The mailing address for the Debtors is 720 Park Boulevard, Suite 200, Boise, Idaho 83712.

appearing that no other notice need be given; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause

appearing therefor, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the Motion is granted; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to Local Rule 9006-1(d), the Debtors are granted leave

and permitted to file the Surreply; and it is further

ORDERED that the Surreply, which is attached as *Exhibit B* to the Motion, is

deemed filed and a matter of record in these cases; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over any and all matters arising

from or related to the interpretation of this Order.

Dated: Wilmington, Delaware

October____, 2009

Kevin J. Carey

Chief United States Bankruptcy Judge

068301.1001 DB02:8782959.1

EXHIBIT B

Surreply

DB02:8782959.1 068301.1001

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE: BUILDING MATERIALS HOLDING CORPORATION At 1	Chapter 11 Case No. 09-12074 (KJC)
CORPORATION, et al., ¹	(1207)
Debtors.	Jointly Administered
) 	Ref. Docket No. 649

DEBTORS' SURREPLY TO ALVARADO'S AMENDED REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AUTHORIZING CLASS PROOF OF CLAIM OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO EXTEND TIME FOR INDIVIDUAL CLASS MEMBERS TO FILE PROOFS OF CLAIM

Building Materials Holding Corporation and its affiliates, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "*Debtors*"), hereby submit this Surreply to Pedro Alvarado's Amended Reply in Support of Motion Authorizing Class Proof of Claim or, in the Alternative, to Extend Time for Individual Class Members to File Proofs of Claim. In support thereof, the Debtors respectfully represent:

ARGUMENT

- Alvarado's Reply is most notable both for what it does and does not discuss. Alvarado's Reply does not discuss:
 - Why, as a threshold matter, this Court should consider his class proofs of claim when (1) no class was certified pre-petition, (2) actual or constructive notice of the

DB02:8782963.1 068301.1001

The Debtors, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's tax identification number, are as follows: Building Materials Holding Corporation (4269), BMC West Corporation (0454), SelectBuild Construction, Inc. (1340), SelectBuild Northern California, Inc. (7579), Illinois Framing, Inc. (4451), C Construction, Inc. (8206), TWF Construction, Inc. (3334), H.N.R. Framing Systems, Inc. (4329), SelectBuild Southern California, Inc. (9378), SelectBuild Nevada, Inc. (8912), SelectBuild Arizona, LLC (0036), and SelectBuild Illinois, LLC (0792). The mailing address for the Debtors is 720 Park Boulevard, Suite 200, Boise, Idaho 83712.

- bankruptcy case and Bar Date was provided to all of the putative class members, and (3) class certification would delay or disrupt these bankruptcy proceedings. *See* Debtors' Objection ¶¶ 18-24.
- Why class treatment of Alvarado's claims would be superior. See Debtors' Objection
 ¶¶ 26-27.
- Why common issues predominate over individual issues. See Debtors' Objection
 ¶¶ 28-31.
- Why Alvarado has standing to seek individual extensions of the Bar Date for thousands of individuals that Alvarado's counsel does not represent <u>as</u> individuals.
 See Debtors' Objection ¶ 41.

Alvarado's Reply does discuss:

- The Rule 23 requirements for class certification at length, *see* Reply ¶¶ 14-21, despite the absence of any such discussion in Alvarado's original Motion, and that Motion's insistence that it "does <u>not</u> seek to have this Court decide whether the action is suitable for treatment as a class action," *id.* ¶ 17.
- Alvarado's novel contention that courts in this jurisdiction grant class proofs of claim as of right as a mere procedural formality, Reply ¶¶ 11-13, even though that argument never appears in his Motion.
- The assertion that the Debtors' notices were inadequate because they did not specifically inform employees that they may have wage and hour claims against the Debtors, Reply ¶¶ 3, 5, 22-34, even though this too was never mentioned in Alvarado's Motion.

 Alvarado's new argument that "excusable neglect" exists to permit extension of the Bar Date for thousands of individuals, Reply ¶¶ 27-34, even though his original Motion never mentioned, much less attempted to meet, the excusable neglect standard.

Because Alvarado in his Reply has seen fit to focus on issues not addressed in his original Motion, the Debtors respectfully submit this brief response to Alvarado's new contentions.

In his Reply, Alvarado argues at length that class proofs of claim are 2. allowed in bankruptcy courts. See Reply ¶ 7-11. The Debtors have never suggested that class claims are per se barred. Rather, the Debtors have pointed out that class claims are permitted only at the discretion of the court, that there is a consistent standard courts apply when exercising that discretion, and that Alvarado's claims fall far short of that standard. See Debtors' Objection ¶ 17. Alvarado now appears to argue that courts in this jurisdiction essentially grant class proofs of claim as of right. See Reply ¶ 11 ("Where the Movant has filed a class proof of claim on behalf of the Prospective Class, the Motion must be granted to permit the Movant to seek certification of the Affected Parties.") (emphasis added). No court has so held. In support of his extreme position, Alvarado cites to Judge Walrath's opinion in In re Kaiser Group Int'l, 278 B.R. 58 (Bankr. D. Del. 2002). See Reply ¶ 11. In In re Kaiser, however, Judge Walrath allowed class proofs of claim (and certified the class) only after a comprehensive Rule 23 analysis. See In re Kaiser, 278 B.R. at 67 ("We conclude the Claimant has satisfied the requirements of Rule 23(a) and (b)(3) and we will . . . permit the filing of a class proof of claim."). Moreover, Alvarado simply ignores the many courts that have explained that, even before reaching a Rule 23 analysis, a court should consider the threshold question of whether allowing the class proof of claim would be inconsistent with the goals of bankruptcy. See Debtors' Objection ¶ 18-24.

Alvarado's attempt to reduce the threshold standard for granting class proofs of claim to a mere rubberstamp is wholly without foundation, whether in this or any other jurisdiction.

3. In contrast to his Motion, which addressed Rule 23 in only the most cursory fashion (see Mot. ¶ 15) and urged this Court "not . . . to . . . decide whether the action is suitable for treatment as a class action," id. ¶ 17 (emphasis in original), Alvarado's Reply discusses Rule 23 at length. See Reply ¶¶ 14-21. But Alvarado devotes almost the entirety of that discussion to Rule 23(a), which the Debtors in their Objection never argued could not be met. Instead, the Debtors carefully explained why Alvarado could not meet Rule 23(b)'s superiority and predominance requirements. See Debtors' Objection ¶ 25-31. Alvarado's Reply devotes one solitary paragraph to Rule 23's predominance requirement, and in that paragraph merely asserts that Alvarado's claims "present[] common issues of law and fact. Any differences that may exist among the Affected Parties is minimal, and the presence of individual issues should not defeat the importance of a common question." Reply ¶ 20. Beyond the fact that such naked assertions cannot substitute for evidence or argument, Alvarado's statement does not even address the relevant standard. The relevant question under Rule 23(b) is whether common issues predominate, not simply whether there are common issues. Alvarado's Reply similarly misses the proper standard in arguing that "[c]ontrary to the Debtors' assertions, 'the policies underlying bankruptcy and class actions are not in conflict." Reply ¶ 21 (alteration marks omitted). The Debtors never argued that the policies underlying bankruptcy and class action are "in conflict." That is simply a straw man. The proper Rule 23(b) inquiry is whether the class action remains superior to the bankruptcy proceedings, and, as the Debtors have shown, many courts faced with claims indistinguishable from Alvarado's have concluded that class treatment was <u>not</u> superior. See Debtors' Objection ¶¶ 26-27.

- In his original Motion, Alvarado sought to extend the Bar Date on behalf 4. of the individual employees on the erroneous assumption that that they received no notice. See Mot. ¶¶ 18-19. Faced with the fact that the Debtors did provide actual or constructive notice to the employees, Alvarado now claims the notice was inadequate because the Debtors did not specifically inform their employees that they may have wage and hour claims against the Debtors. Reply ¶¶ 3, 5, 22-34. First, the Debtors strenuously disagree that their employees have such claims. But more importantly, numerous courts—including the Third Circuit—have rejected the argument that debtors must provide notice not only of the right to file claims, but also of what possible claims employees might have. See In re Penn. Central Transp. Co., 771 F.2d 762, 768 (3d Cir. 1985) ("the purpose of the notice requirement is to advise individuals who will be affected by the outcome of any proceeding of the impending hearing so that they can take steps to safeguard their interests . . . [not] to advise them of the nature of those interests"); see also In re Amdura Corp., 170 B.R. 445, 452-53 (D. Colo. 1994) (same); In re Jamesway Corp., 1997 Bankr. LEXIS 825, at *32-33 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. June 11, 1997) (same). Alvarado cites no authority to the contrary. In any event, this Court specifically approved the notice that was provided to potential creditors, after notice and motion. If Alvarado disagreed with the substance and form of the notice, he should have raised that at the proper time instead of waiting until now to collaterally attack it. The actual or constructive notice provided to the Debtors' employees was more than adequate and fully comported with due process. See Debtors' Objection ¶ 44.
- 5. Finally, again in contrast to his Motion—where Alvarado made no attempt to demonstrate "excusable neglect" sufficient to support extending the Bar Date on behalf of thousands of individuals—Alvarado in his Reply addresses the excusable neglect standard at some length. Reply ¶ 27-34. Tellingly, however, Alvarado never explains how he has standing

to seek individual Bar Date extensions on behalf of perhaps 5000 individuals that neither Alvarado nor his counsel represent <u>as</u> individuals. *See* Debtors' Objection ¶ 41. Moreover, Alvarado's argument that the failure to timely file claims was "excusable" is premised on his new assertion that the Debtors' notice was inadequate. *See* Reply ¶¶ 31-33. As explained above, that assertion has no basis in law or fact.

6. For the reasons set forth above, and in their Objection to Alvarado's Motion, the Debtors submit that the Motion should be denied. The Debtors therefore respectfully request that the Court enter an order denying the Motion in its entirety, and disallowing the proofs of claim that were filed on behalf of the putative class.

Remainder of page intentionally left blank

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court deny the Motion in its entirety, disallow the proofs of claim that were filed on behalf of the putative class, and grant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: Wilmington, Delaware September 29, 2009 YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT &

TAYLOR, LLP

Sean M. Beach (No. 4070)

Donald J. Bowman, Jr. (No. 4383) Robert F. Poppiti, Jr. (No. 5052)

The Brandywine Building 1000 West St., 17th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801

Telephone: 302.571.6600 Facsimile: 302.571.1253

---- and ----

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

Michael A. Rosenthal (admitted *pro hac vice*) Matthew K. Kelsey (admitted *pro hac vice*) Saee M. Muzumdar (admitted *pro hac vice*) 200 Park Ave, 47th Floor

New York, NY 10166-0193 Telephone: 212.351.4000 Facsimile: 212.351.4035

Aaron G. York (admitted *pro hac vice*) Jeremy L. Graves (admitted *pro hac vice*) 2100 McKinney Ave, Suite 1100 Dallas, TX 75201-6911

Telephone: 214.698.3100 Facsimile: 214.571.2900

ATTORNEYS FOR DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:	Chapter 11
BUILDING MATERIALS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al., 1	Case No. 09-12074 (KJC)
Debtors.	Jointly Administered

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF DELAWARE) SS
NEW CASTLE COUNTY)

Casey S. Cathcart, an employee of the law firm of Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP, co-counsel to the above-captioned debtors, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on September 29, 2009, she caused a copy of the **Debtors' Motion for** Leave to File Surreply to Alvarado's Amended Reply in Support of Motion Authorizing Class Proof of Claim or, in the Alternative, to Extend Time for Individual Class Members to File Proofs of Claim to be served as indicated upon the parties identified on the attached service lists.

Closy S. Casey S. Casey S. Casey

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED before me this 29th day of September, 2009.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

ANGELA M. COLSON NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF DELAWARE My commission expires Aug. 31, 2011

DB02:8310096.93 068301.1001

The Debtors, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's tax identification number, are as follows: Building Materials Holding Corporation (4269), BMC West Corporation (0454), SelectBuild Construction, Inc. (1340), SelectBuild Northern California, Inc. (7579), Illinois Framing, Inc. (4451), C Construction, Inc. (8206), TWF Construction, Inc. (3334), H.N.R. Framing Systems, Inc. (4329), SelectBuild Southern California, Inc. (9378), SelectBuild Nevada, Inc. (8912), SelectBuild Arizona, LLC (0036), and SelectBuild Illinois, LLC (0792). The mailing address for the Debtors is 720 Park Boulevard, Suite 200, Boise, Idaho 83712.

BUILDING MATERIALS HOLDING CORPORATION SPECIAL SERVICE LIST RE: SURREPLY TO ALVARADO'S REPLY 9/29/2009

James R. Hawkins, Esq.
James R. Hawkins, APLC
9880 Research Drive, Suite 200
Irvine, CA 92618
(Counsel to Pedro Alverado and Other
Similarly Situated Claimants)
Federal Express

Katharine L. Mayer, Esq.
Matthew J. Rifino, Esq.
Kate Roggio Buck, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
405 North King Street, 8th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
(Counsel to Pedro Alverado and Other
Similarly Situated Claimants)

Hand Delivery

D. Edward Hays, Esq.
Marshack Hays LLP
5410 Trabuco Road, Suite 130
Irvine, CA 92620
(Counsel to Pedro Alverado and Other
Similarly Situated Claimants)
Federal Express

David G. Aelvoet, Esq.
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP
Travis Building, 711 Navarro, Suite 300
San Antonio, TX 78205
(Counsel to Bexar County)
First Class Mail

Sanjay Bhatnagar, Esq.
Cole, Schotz, Meisel, Forman & Leonard, P.A.
500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1410
Wilmington, DE 19801
(Counsel to CNH Capital America, LLC)
Hand Delivery

Robert McL. Boote, Esq.
Ballard Spahr Andrews & Ingersoll, LLP
1735 Market Street, 51st Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103-7599
(Counsel to Westchester Fire Insurance
Company and ACE USA)
First Class Mail

Celeste H. Campbell KPMG LLP 303 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2000 Atlanta, GA 30308 (Auditors and Tax Advisor to the Debtors) First Class Mail

Scott T. Citek, Esq.
Lamm & Smith, P.C.
3730 Kirby Drive, Suite 650
Houston, TX 77098
(Counsel to Bay Oil Company)
First Class Mail

Christopher M. Alston, Esq. Foster Pepper PLLC 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, WA 98101 (Counsel to JELD-WEN, inc.) *First Class Mail*

Brian W. Bisignani, Esq.
Post & Schell, P.C.
17 North 2nd Street, 12th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1601
(Counsel to Aon Consulting)
First Class Mail

David Boyle Airgas, Inc. 259 Radnor-Chester Road, Suite 100 P.O. Box 6675 Radnor, PA 19087-8675 *First Class Mail*

Andrew Cardonick, Esq Greenberg Traurig, LLP 77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3100 Chicago, IL 60601 (Counsel to Grace Bay Holdings, II, LLC) First Class Mail

Scott D. Cousins, Esq.
Dennis A. Melero, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
1007 North Orange Street, Suite 1200
Wilmington, DE 19801
(Counsel to Grace Bay Holdings, II, LLC)
Hand Delivery

Raniero D. D'Aversa, Jr., Esq. Laura D. Metzger, Esq. Weston T. Eguchi, Esq. Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 666 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10103-0001 (Counsel to Rabobank International) First Class Mail

Robert J. Dehney, Esq.
Erin R. Fay, Esq.
Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnell LLP
1201 North Market Street, 18th Floor
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899-1347
(Counsel to D.R. Horton, Inc.)
Hand Delivery

Mark W. Eckard, Esq.
Reed Smith LLP
1201 North Market Street, Suite 1500
Wilmington, DE 19801
(Counsel to CIT Technology Financing
Services, Inc.)
Hand Delivery

John M. Flynn, Esq.
Carruthers & Roth, P.A.
235 North Edgeworth Street
P.O. Box 540
Greensboro, NC 27401
(Counsel to Arrowood Indemnity Company)
First Class Mail

Tobey M. Daluz, Esq.
Joshua E. Zugerman, Esq.
Ballard Spahr Andrews & Ingersoll, LLP
919 North Market Street, 12th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
(Counsel to Westchester Fire Insurance
Company and ACE USA)

Hand Delivery

John P. Dillman, Esq.
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP
P.O. Box 3064
Houston, TX 77253-3064
(Counsel to Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, Fort Bend
County, and Harris County)
First Class Mail

Kevin B. Fisher, Esq.
Seth Mennillo, Esq.
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP
55 Second Street, 24th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
(Counsel to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.)
First Class Mail

Christopher J. Giaimo, Jr., Esq. Katie A. Lane, Esq. Arent Fox LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036-5339 (Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors) *First Class Mail*

Paul N. Heath, Esq. Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A. One Rodney Square 920 North King Street Wilmington, DE 19801 (Counsel to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.) Hand Delivery Melody C. Hogston Royal Mouldings Limited P.O. Box 610 Marion, VA 24354 First Class Mail

Eric H. Holder, Jr., Esq.
U. S. Attorney General
Department of Justice - Commercial Litigation
Branch
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001
First Class Mail

James E. Huggett, Esq.
Amy D. Brown, Esq.
Margolis Edelstein
750 Shipyard Drive, Suite 102
Wilmington, DE 19801
(Counsel to Eduardo Acevedo, et al.)
First Class Mail

IKON Financial Services
Attn: Bankruptcy Administration
1738 Bass Road
P.O. Box 13708
Macon, GA 31208-3708
First Class Mail

Internal Revenue Service
Attn: Insolvency Section
11601 Roosevelt Blvd., Mail Drop N781
P.O. Box 21126
Philadelphia, PA 19114
First Class Mail

Thomas W. Isaac, Esq.
Dietrich, Glasrud, Mallek & Aune
5250 North Palm Avenue, Suite 402
Fresno, CA 93704
(Counsel to Wilson Homes, Inc.)
First Class Mail

Neal Jacobson, Esq.
Senior Trial Counsel
Securities and Exchange Commission
3 World Financial Center, Suite 400
New York, NY 10281
First Class Mail

Michael J. Joyce, Esq. Cross & Simon, LLC 913 North Market Street, 11th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801 (Counsel to Arrowood Indemnity Company) Hand Delivery Thomas L. Kent, Esq.
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP
75 East 55th Street, 1st Floor
New York, NY 10022
(Counsel to Wells Fargo Bank)
First Class Mail

Gary H. Leibowitz, Esq.
Cole, Schotz, Meisel, Forman & Leonard, P.A.
300 East Lombard Street, Suite 2600
Baltimore, MD 21202
(Counsel to CNH Capital America, LLC)
First Class Mail

Cliff W. Marcek, Esq.
Cliff W. Marcek, P.C.
700 South Third Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(Counsel to Edward and Gladys Weisgerber)

Frank F. McGinn, Esq.
Bartlett Hackett Feinberg, P.C.
155 Federal Street, 9th Floor
Boston, MA 02110
(Counsel to Iron Mountain Information
Management, Inc.)

First Class Mail

First Class Mail

Joseph McMillen Midlands Claim Administrators, Inc. 3503 N.W. 63rd Street, Suite 204 P.O. Box 23198 Oklahoma, OK 73123 *First Class Mail*

Sheryl L. Moreau, Esq.
Missouri Department of Revenue - Bankruptcy
Unit
P.O. Box 475
Jefferson City, MO 65105-0475
First Class Mail

Louisiana-Pacific Corporation Attn: Bruce J. Iddings P.O. Box 4000-98 Hayden Lake, ID 83835-4000 (Top 50) *First Class Mail*

Dan McAllister
San Diego County Treasurer-Tax Collector,
Bankruptcy Desk
1600 Pacific Highway, Room 162
San Diego, CA 92101
First Class Mail

Joseph J. McMahon, Jr., Esq. Office of the United States Trustee 844 King Street, Suite 2207 Lock Box 35 Wilmington, DE 19801 *Hand Delivery*

Kathleen M. Miller, Esq.
Smith, Katzenstein & Furlow LLP
800 Delaware Avenue, 7th Floor
P.O. Box 410
Wilmington, DE 19801
(Counsel to Airgas, Inc.)
Hand Delivery

Charles J. Pignuolo, Esq.
Devlin & Pignuolo, P.C.
1800 Bering Drive, Suite 310
Houston, TX 77057
(Counsel to Partners in Building, L.P.)
First Class Mail

Michael Reed, Esq.
McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen, P.C.
P.O. Box 1269
Round Rock, TX 78680
(Counsel to Local Texas Taxing Authorities)
First Class Mail

Jonathan Lee Riches Federal Medical Center P.O. Box 14500 Lexington, KY 40512 *First Class Mail*

Debra A. Riley, Esq.
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP
501 West Broadway, 15th Floor
San Diego, CA 92101
(Counsel to D.R. Horton, Inc.)
First Class Mail

Randall A. Rios, Esq.
Timothy A. Million, Esq.
Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, PC
700 Louisiana, 46th Floor
Houston, TX 77002
(Counsel to Cedar Creek Lumber, Inc.)
First Class Mail

Martha E. Romero, Esq. Romero Law Firm 6516 Bright Avenue Whittier, CA 90601 (Counsel to Yuba County) First Class Mail George Rosenberg, Esq.
Assistant Arapahoe County Attorney
5334 South Prince Street
Littleton, CO 80166
(Counsel to Arapahoe County Treasurer)
First Class Mail

Bradford J. Sandler, Esq.
Jennifer R. Hoover, Esq.
Jennifer E. Smith, Esq.
Benesch, Friedlander, Coplan & Aronoff LLP
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 801
Wilmington, DE 19801
(Counsel to the Official Committee of
Unsecured Creditors)

Hand Delivery

Secretary of State Franchise Tax Division of Corporations P.O. Box 7040 Dover, DE 19903 First Class Mail

Secretary of Treasury
Attn: Officer, Managing Agent or General
Agent
P.O. Box 7040
Dover, DE 19903
First Class Mail

Securities & Exchange Commission Attn: Christopher Cox 100 F Street, NE Washington, DC 20549 First Class Mail

Securities & Exchange Commission Bankruptcy Unit Attn: Michael A. Berman, Esq. 450 Fifth Street NW Washington, DC 20549 First Class Mail

Tennessee Department of Revenue c/o Tennessee Attorney General's Office, Bankruptcy Division P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 First Class Mail

Christopher A. Ward, Esq.
Shanti M. Katona, Esq.
Polsinelli Shughart PC
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1101
Wilmington, DE 19801
(Counsel to SunTrust Bank)

Hand Delivery

Elizabeth Weller, Esq.
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP
2323 Bryan Street, Suite 1600
Dallas, TX 75201
(Counsel to Dallas County and Tarrant
County)
First Class Mail

Ellen W. Slights, Esq.
Assistant United States Attorney
U.S. Attorney's Office
1007 Orange Street, Suite 700
P.O. Box 2046
Wilmington, DE 19899
Hand Delivery

Kimberly Walsh, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts,
Bankruptcy & Collections Division
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, TX 78711-2548
First Class Mail

Paul M. Weiser, Esq.
Buchalter Nemer
16435 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 440
Scottsdale, AZ 85254-1754
(Counsel to Elwood HA, L.L.C.)
First Class Mail

Joanne B. Wills, Esq.
Sally E. Veghte, Esq.
Klehr, Harrison, Harvey, Branzburg & Ellers LLP
919 Market Street, Suite 1000
Wilmington, DE 19801
(Counsel to Rabobank International)

Hand Delivery